

ORGANIZATIONAL, MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL MODEL

GENERAL PART

SARDEGNA RESORTS S.R.L.

REGISTER

<u>N.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Date</u>
1	First Issue	January 1, 2011
2	Review and update	May 20, 2016
3	Review and update	September 11, 2017
4	Review and update	January 10, 2019
5	Review and update	April 17, 2019
6	Review and update	March 29, 2021
7	Review and update	Decembre 12, 2022
8	Reviwe and update	December 15, 2025

Summary

1.	THE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK: THE LEGISLATIVE DECREE N. 231/2001	4
2.	HISTORY AND BUSINESS OF SARDEGNA RESORTS S.R.L.	8
3.	THE 231 MODEL OF SARDEGNA RESORTS S.R.L.	9
3.1	The purpose of the Model	9
3.2	The drafting of the Model	10
3.2.1	The concept of acceptable risk	11
3.2.2	The structure of the Model and the Presumed Crimes relevant for its drafting	11
3.2.3	The adoption of the Model and its updating	12
3.3	The Components of the Model	13
3.3.1	Code of Ethics of Sardegna Resorts S.r.l.	13
3.3.2	Organizational system	13
3.3.3	The Company organization on Health and Safety at Work (OSH)	13
3.3.4	The Organizational Structure on environmental matters	14
3.3.5	The procedural system	14
3.3.6	Financial resources management system	14
3.3.7	Outsourced processes	15
3.3.8	Authorization and signature powers	15
3.3.9	Communication and training	15
4.	SUPERVISORY BODY	16
4.1	Characteristics of the Supervisory Body	16
4.2	Appointment and Composition of the Supervisory Body	17
4.3	Duration of the assignment and causes of termination	17
4.4	Cases of ineligibility and of decadence	18
4.5	Functions, duties and powers of the Supervisory Body	19
4.6	Resources of the Supervisory body	20
4.7	The functioning of the Supervisory body	20
4.7.1	Meetings	20
4.7.2	Validity of the resolutions	21
4.7.3	Meeting minutes and Documentation	21
4.8	Information flows of the Supervisory body	21
4.8.1	Reporting obligations to the Supervisory body	21
4.8.2	Reports	23
4.8.3	Reporting obligations of the Supervisory Body	24
4.	SYSTEM OF SANCTIONS	25
5.1	General principles	25
5.2	Definition of “Infringement” for the purposes of the operation of the present System of Sanctions	26
5.3	Criteria for the imposition of sanctions	26
5.4	Sanctions for employees	26
5.4.1	Employees in non-managerial position	27
5.4.2	Managers	27

5.5	Directors	27
5.6	Statutory Auditors	28
5.7	Third Parties: collaborators, business partners and consultants.	28
5.8	The process of application of sanctions	29

1. THE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK: THE LEGISLATIVE DECREE N. 231/2001

The framework of the “Corporate criminal liability” under Legislative Decree no. 231/2001

The Legislative Decree no. 231, dated June 8, 2001, in implementation of the delegated legislation no. 300 dated September 29, 2000, introduced in Italy the “*the administrative liability of legal persons, companies and associations without legal personality*” (hereinafter referred to as “**Decree no. 231/01**” or the “**Decree**”), which is part of a broad process of legislation to combat corruption and adapting Italian legislation on liability of legal persons to some specific International Conventions previously signed by Italy.

The Decree no. 231/01 sets, therefore, an administrative liability framework (comparable substantially to criminal liability), on legal persons (hereinafter referred to as the “**Entity/entities**”), which shall be added to the responsibility of the individual (better identified below) that committed the offence and that aims to involve, within the punishment of the same, the Entities in whose interest or advantage that offence was committed. This liability is univocally applicable to those crimes listed exhaustively in this Decree.

The Individuals subject to the Decree no. 231/01

The subjects that may determine the liability of the Entity, by committing a crime in the interest or in advantage of the latter, are listed below:

- (i) individuals who hold apical positions (representative, administrative or managerial position in the Entity or in one of its organizational units having financial and functional independence, or individuals who are responsible, effectively, for the management and control: hereinafter referred to as “**Apicals**”);
- (ii) individuals subordinate to the management or supervision of one of the individuals in apical positions (hereinafter referred to as “**Subordinates**”).

In this regard, it should be noted that it is not mandatory for the Subordinates to have an employment relationship with the Entity, whereas should be included in this notion also those “*workers who, although they are not strictly “employees” of the entity, have a relationship with it that suggests a supervisory obligation by the senior management of the entity: e.g. intermediaries, semi-subordinate workers in general, suppliers, consultants, and collaborators, etc.*”.

In fact, according to the prevailing doctrinal address, are significant for the purposes of the liability of the Entity those situations where a particular task should be entrusted to external collaborators, required to run it under the direction or control of Apical.

The Entity is not liable (Article 5, paragraph 2 of the Decree) if the subjects acted in the exclusive interest of their own or of third parties. In any case, their behavior shall be related to that “organic” relationship for which the individual's acts may be attributed to the Entity.

The Presumed Crimes (predicate criminal offenses)

The Decree provides the following types of crimes (hereinafter referred to as “**Presumed crimes/Predicate criminal offenses**”)

- **Crimes against public administration** (Article. 24 and 25 of the Decree no. 231/01);
- **Computer crimes and illegal processing of data** (Article 24-bis);
- **Organized crimes** (Article 24-ter);

- **Crimes of forging money, public credit notes, revenue stamps and instruments or identity marks** (Article 25-*bis*);
- **Crimes against to industry and trade** (Article 25-*bis 1*);
- **Corporate crimes** (Article 25-*ter*);
- **Crimes connected to terrorism or subversion of democracy** (the Article 25-*quater*);
- **Mutilation of female genital organs** (Article 25-*quater.1*);
- **Crimes against individual personality** (Article 25-*quinquies*);
- **Market abuse** (Article 25-*sexies*);
- **Crimes of culpable homicide and grievous or very grievous bodily harm, committed in breach of the rules on accident prevention and protection of hygiene and health at work** (Article 25-*septies*);
- **Receiving, laundering and using money, goods or profits from illegal activities, or self-laundering** (Article 25-*octies*);
- **Crimes relating to non-cash payment instruments** (Article 25-*octies.1*);
- **Other offences relating to non-cash payment instruments** (Article 25-*octies.1*, paragraph 2 of the Decree);
- **Crimes connected with copyright infringement** (Article 25-*novies*);
- **Inducing individuals into not making statements or into making false statements to judicial authorities** (Article 25-*decies*);
- **Environmental crimes** (Article 25-*undecies*);
- **Crime for the employment of third-country nationals whose stay is irregular and treats of persons** (Article 25-*duodecies*);
- **Racism and xenophobia** (Article 25-*terdecies*);
- **Fraud in sports competitions, abuse of gambling or betting and gambling exercised by means of prohibited devices** (article 25-*quaterdecies* of the Decree);
- **Tax offences** (Article 25-*quinquiesdecies*);
- **Smuggling** (Article 25-*sexiesdecies* of the Decree);
- **Crimes against cultural heritage** (Article 25-*septiesdecies* of the Decree);
- **Laundering of cultural assets and devastation and looting of cultural and landscape assets** (Article 25-*duodevicies* of the Decree);
- **Transnational offences**, introduced by Law No 146 of 16 March 2006, “Law ratifying and implementing the United Nations Convention and Protocols against transnational organised crime”;
- **Liability of entities for administrative offences as a result of a criminal offence [These constitute a prerequisite for entities operating in the virgin olive oil sector]** (Article 12, Law 9/2013);
- **Crimes against animals** (Article 25-*undevicies*).

It should be noted that the institution can respond to the commission of the **aforementioned malicious crimes** even in the form of the **attempt**.

Sanctions provided by the Decree

The Decree no. 231/01 provides the following types of sanctions applicable to the Entities recipients of the law:

- a) pecuniary administrative sanctions;
- b) disqualification sanctions;
- c) confiscation of the price or of profit of the offence;
- d) publication of the sentence

- a) **The pecuniary administrative sanction**, governed by Articles 10 and subsequent of the Decree, constitutes the "basic" sanction of a necessary application, the payment of which is borne by the Entity with its assets or with the common fund.

The Legislator adopted an innovative criterion of the sanction proportioning, attributing to the Judge the obligation to proceed to two different and subsequent appreciation operations. This entails a greater adjustment of the sanction to the seriousness of the facts and the economic conditions of the Entity.

The first assessment requires the Judge to determine the number of units (in any case no less than a hundred and no more than a thousand) taking into account:

- seriousness of the offence;
- degree of liability of the entity;
- actions taken to eliminate or mitigate the consequences of the fact and to prevent the perpetration of additional offences.

During the second evaluation, the Judge determines, within the minimum and maximum values pre-determined in relation to the sanctioned offenses, the value of each unit, from a minimum of € 258,23 to a maximum of € 1.549,37.

- b) The following **disqualification sanctions** are provided by the Decree and can only be imposed for specific offences:
- disqualification from carrying out the specific business activity;
 - suspension or cancellation of the authorizations, licenses or concessions instrumental to the commission of the offence;
 - prohibition from contracting with the public administration, except for obtaining a public service;
 - exclusion from subsidies, funding, contributions or grants and the eventual revocation of those already awarded;
 - prohibition from advertising goods or services.

For the disqualification sanctions to be imposed, it is necessary to have at least one of the conditions provided by the Article 13 of the Decree no. 231/01, namely:

- *“the entity has gained from the offense a significant profit and the crime was committed by individuals holding apical positions or by individuals under the others direction when, in this case, the commission of the crime has been determined or facilitated by serious organizational shortcomings”*; or
- *“in case of reiteration of the offenses”*.

Furthermore, the disqualification sanctions can be required by the Public Minister and applied to the Entity by the Judge as a precautionary measure when:

- when there are serious indications of the Entity's liability in the commission of an

administrative offense depending on a crime;

- there are reasonable and specific elements that make concrete believe the risk that are committed offences of the same nature as that for which to proceed;
- the Entity has gained from the offense a significant profit.

In any case, no disqualification sanctions are applied when the offense has been committed in the prevailing interest of the author or third parties and the organization has obtained a minimum or no advantage, namely the financial damage caused is particularly slight.

The Legislator has stated that the ban on the activity has a residual nature compared to other disqualification sanctions.

- c) According to the Article 19 of the Decree no. 231/01, it is always ordered, through a conviction sentence, the **confiscation** - including their equivalent- of the price (money or other economic benefit given or promised to induce or cause another party to commit the offence) or profit (economic benefit directly derived by the entity) except for the portion that can be returned to the plaintiff, without prejudice to the rights acquired in good faith by third parties.
- d) The **publication of the conviction sentence** in one or more newspapers, as an abstract or as a whole, can be ordered by the Judge, with its posting in the municipality where the Entity has its head offices, when a disqualification sanction is applied.

Attempted crimes

In the case of commission in the form of an attempt of the crimes provided by the Decree, the pecuniary (in terms of amount) and disqualifications sanctions (in terms of times) are reduced from a third to a half, while the imposition of sanctions is excluded in where the Entity voluntarily stopped the action or the event (Article 26 of the Decree).

Exemption conditions

The Articles 6 and 7 of the Decree provides specific forms of exemption from liability of the Entity for crimes committed in the interest of in advantage of the latter either by Apicals or by Subordinates.

In particular, article 6 of the Decree establishes that for offences committed by Apicals, the Entity is not liable if it can prove that:

- a) the management body adopted and effectively implemented organizational, management and control models, prior to the offence, that could prevent those types of crimes from being repeated (hereinafter referred to as the “**Model**”);
- b) the task of overseeing the functioning of and compliance with the Model has been assigned to a body of the Entity (hereinafter referred to as “**Supervisory Body**” or “**SB**”), with autonomous initiative and control powers;
- c) the persons committed the offence by fraudulently avoiding the Model;
- d) there was not failure to provide or insufficient oversight form the Supervisory Body.

With reference to the Subordinates, the Article 7 of the Decree provides the exemption from corporate criminal liability if the Entity demonstrates that, prior to the commission of the offence, it had adopted and effectively implemented a suitable Model for preventing crimes like that.

However, the exemption of the Entity's liability is not determined by the mere adoption of the

Model, but by its effective execution to be realized by implementing all the protocols and controls necessary to limit the risk of committing the offenses the Body intends to avoid.

In particular, with reference to the features of the Model, the Decree expressly provides at Article 6, paragraph 2, the following preparatory steps for proper implementation of the Model itself:

- a) identifying the activities including the possibility of crime commission therein;
- b) providing for specific protocols to plan the making and implementation of the decisions of the Entity in relation to the crimes to be prevented;
- c) identifying procedures for managing financial resources to prevent the commission of such crimes;
- d) provision of information obligations to the SB;
- e) the introduction of a disciplinary system capable of sanctioning non-compliance with the provisions of the Model.

The Guidelines of the Category Associations

The preparation of this Model is based on the Guidelines for drafting the Organizational, Management and Control Models pursuant to the Decree no. 231/01, approved by Confindustria on March 7, 2002 and subsequently updated on March 2014, and on June 2021 (hereinafter referred to as “**Guidelines**”).

2. HISTORY AND BUSINESS OF SARDEGNA RESORTS S.R.L.

Sardegna Resorts S.r.l. (hereinafter also referred to as "Sardegna Resorts" or the "Company") is a company owned by the sovereign wealth fund of Qatar, QIA (Qatar Investment Authority), which exercises indirect control over it. The Company's corporate purpose includes the purchase, construction, sale, exchange, and lease of movable and immovable property. Furthermore, its purpose also encompasses the establishment, acquisition, operation, management, and sale of hotels, restaurants, bars, as well as commercial enterprises in general.

The Company carries out real estate management and development activities in the Costa Smeralda area through its subsidiary Land Holding Co S.r.l., and it owns the luxury hotels Romazzino, Cala di Volpe (including the Pevero Golf), Pitrizza, and Cervo Hotel Costa Smeralda Resort, the Nikki Beach beach club, the Nikki Bar, the retail shops located in the Waterfront area of Porto Cervo's marina, the restaurants Zuma and A Mare, and controls Porto Cervo Marina S.r.l.

Sardegna Resorts S.r.l. has a distinctive organizational structure. In particular, the operational activities relating to the four owned hotels are managed by companies belonging to the U.S.-based Marriott hotel group, together with Cheval Blanc and Belmond, both part of the LVMH Group, pursuant to a series of management agreements entered into by Sardegna Resorts with Starwood (Sheraton Overseas Management Corporation), subsequently acquired by Marriott and LVMH. Operational activities not related to the hotels are instead managed by dedicated internal corporate functions.

As regards the operational activities relating to the so-called Outlets (bars, restaurants, and other establishments), they are managed with the support of companies belonging to the Surgo and Azumi restaurant groups, pursuant to management contracts, with the exception of the A Mare restaurant, the coordination of which is directly handled by Sardegna Resorts.

Through its corporate functions, Sardegna Resorts S.r.l. also carries out activities on behalf of its subsidiaries Land Holding Co. S.r.l., Porto Cervo Marina S.r.l., and Safebay S.r.l., as well as for its parent company Smeralda Holding S.r.l., all of which are headquartered in Porto Cervo.

The performance of the above-mentioned activities is governed by specific service agreements (intercompany contracts) entered into between Sardegna Resorts and the aforementioned companies, which provide, by way of example and not limitation, for the provision of administrative and financial services.

3. THE 231 MODEL OF SARDEGNA RESORTS S.R.L.

Sardegna Resorts, aware of the importance of corporate values, together with the fundamental principles of fairness and professional loyalty, as well as the transparent competition on the market from all those who work there, deemed appropriate to adopt its Model with the resolution of the Council of Administration (hereinafter referred to as “BoD”) on 18 January 2011 and subsequently updated on May 20, 2016, on September 11, 2017 and on January 10, 2019; April 17, 2019; March 29, 2021; December 12, 2022; and December 15, 2025.

In addition, the BoD of Sardegna Resorts, in accordance with the Decree, has established an SB responsible for overseeing the operation, effectiveness and compliance of the Model, as well as updating it.

The purpose of the Model

The Model drawn up by Sardegna Resorts has the purpose to:

- provide a system of prevention and control aimed at reducing the risk of commission of offenses, relevant for the purposes of the Decree, related to its activities;
- make all those who work in the name and on behalf of Sardegna Resorts especially those engaged in the “areas at risk”, aware on the possibility to incur, in the event of a violation of the provisions contained therein, in an offense punishable by sanctions, on a criminal and administrative level, not only personally, but also against the Company;
- inform all third parties that operate with Sardegna Resorts that the violation of the prescriptions contained in the Model will result in termination of the contractual relationship.

Furthermore, the Model of Sardegna Resorts aims at preventing situations of conflict of interest (such as, but not limited to, conflict of interest with representatives of the Public Administration, suppliers, employees of subsidiaries and or affiliated companies, with related parties, etc.), which, in addition to being in conflict with laws and ethical principles, are detrimental to corporate image and integrity.

The Recipients of the requirements contained in the Model – Apicals and Subordinates (hereinafter “**Recipients**”), are required to use their professional skills for the achievement of corporate interest, by avoiding any situation and by refraining from any activity that may directly or indirectly oppose to it a – direct or indirect – personal interest or that may interfere negatively with the ability to conduct their own responsibilities and functions in an impartial and objective manner.

The Recipients undertake not to exploit their position to pursue their own (family or economic or personal) interests in contravention of a company's interest.

Management and employees of the Company are required to avoid and report conflicts of interest between personal and family economic activities and the tasks within the Company. In particular, it is required to report any specific situations and activities in which they or, to the extent of their knowledge, their relatives or kindred within the second degree or cohabitants in fact, have economic and financial interests (owner or shareholder) with suppliers, customers, competitors, third parties, or relevant parent companies.

Any conflict of interests, whether potential or just apparent, of kinship or any form of coincidence, of any third party with which the Company has any relationship, must be compulsorily, promptly and in detail communicated in accordance with the company's provisions.

3.2 The drafting of the Model

The Company, which - on first-time adoption of the model - had already undertaken a survey of its business, conducted again, with the support of a leading consulting firm, an in-depth analysis of the same, in order to identify the “areas at risk” within the Company.

According also to the provision included in the Guidelines, the construction of the Model is structured in the following phases:

- (i) preliminary analysis of the reference Company documentation in order to identify and / or update offenses relevant for the Company according to the Decree;
- (ii) identifying and/or updating of the areas of activities and business processes deemed at “risk” or “instrumental” to the crimes commission (hereinafter referred to as “Areas at Risk of Crime”), intended as organizational frameworks or processes in which theoretically could materialize the commission of presumed crimes, of the “sensitive activities”, namely those from which may arise the risk of committing the offenses provided by the Decree;
- (iii) definition, by way of hypothesis, of the main possible ways of committing the Presumed Crimes within each Special Part;
- (iv) conducting interviews with the company subjects of Sardegna Resorts aimed at detecting and identifying the Entity's control system to prevent the commission of the Presumed Crimes.

The outcomes of this activity are collected and formalized in a specific document, which forms the Model, named “**Risk Assessment**”.

On the basis of these activities, it was possible to identify any areas for controls improvement (*gap analysis*) and to draw up the plan for strengthening the internal control system relevant for the provisions of the Decree.

Moreover, in the development of the Model, consideration was given to the relationship between Sardegna Resorts and the U.S.-based hotel group Marriott, Cheval Blanc, Belmond, Surgo, and Azumi (which, as previously noted, manage the operational activities of the hotel and restaurant facilities), as well as to intercompany relations, outsourcing arrangements, the Company's particular organizational structure, and the variety and complexity of the activities carried out.

The updating of the Model takes into account the specific operation and organizational peculiarities of the Company

In particular, the Model has been drafted according to:

- a) the provisions of the Decree no. 231/01;
- b) the Confindustria guidelines and further updating;
- c) the most recent jurisprudence relating to corporate criminal liability.

3.2.1 The concept of acceptable risk

In the drafting of a Model, the concept of acceptable risk cannot be overlooked. In order to comply with the provisions introduced by the Decree no. 231/01, it is essential to establish a threshold which limits the quantity and quality of the tools to be adopted i for preventing the crime commission. With particular reference to the sanction mechanism introduced by the Decree, the threshold of acceptability is represented by the effective implementation of an appropriate preventive system that cannot be circumvented, if not intentionally, or, in order to exclude the liability of the Entity, the people who committed the crime acted fraudulently by evading the Model and the controls adopted by the Company.

3.2.2 The structure of the Model and the Presumed Crimes relevant for its drafting

Sardegna Resorts has intended to set up a Model that takes into account its peculiar reality and organizational structure, consistent with its own government system and able to enhance existing controls and bodies.

The Model, therefore, represents a set of principles, rules and provision that:

- affect the internal operation of the Company and the ways in which it relates to the outside;
- regulate the diligent management of a system of control of the Areas at Risk of Crime, aimed at preventing the commission, or attempted commission, of the crimes provided by the Decree.

The Model of Sardegna Resorts is divided into a “**General Part**”, of “**Special Parties**”, according to the various categories of offenses provided by Decree deemed relevant to the Company's business activity.

This General Part describes the essential components of the Model, with particular reference to the SB, the staff training and the dissemination of the Model in the corporate and non-corporate context, the disciplinary system and the measures to be taken in case of non-compliance to it.

In the Special Parts are described:

- the types of crimes deemed at risk;
- the general rules of behavior;
- the Areas at Risk detected;
- the business functions involved;
- the relevant activities for each Areas at Risk of Crime;
- the types of crimes for each Area at Risk of Crime;
- the preventive controls.

The Model is made of the following Special Parts:

- Special Part A, relating to crimes against Public Administration;
- Special Part B, relating to computer crimes and illegal processing of data;
- Special Part C, relating to crimes connected with copyright infringement;
- Special Part D, relating to national and international organized crimes;
- Special Part E, relating to crimes of forging money, public credit notes, revenue stamps and instruments or identity marks;
- Special Part F, relating to crimes against industry and trade;
- Special Part G, relating to corporate crimes;
- Special Part G.1, relating to corruption among private parties;
- Special Part H, relating to crimes against individual personality;
- Special Part I, relating to offences related to health and safety at work;
- Special Part L, relating to employment of non UE-nationals without a valid residence permits;
- Special Part M, relating to receiving, laundering and using money, goods or profits from illegal activities, or self-laundering;
- Special Part N, on crimes relating to non-cash payment instruments;
- Special Part O, relating to environmental crimes;
- Special Part P, relating to tax crimes;
- Special Part Q, relating to crimes against cultural heritage.

In view of the number of offenses currently constituting the administrative responsibility of the Entities under the Decree, the Model has been updated through a “*risk based*” approach, with regard to the cases considered to be of greater importance, whose commission was concretely and not abstractly conceivable.

3.2.3 The adoption of the Model and its updating

The adoption of the Model is delegated by the Decree itself to the jurisdiction of the governing body (and in particular to the BoD), which is also given the task to integrate and update the Model.

Sardegna Resorts has adopted a first version of its model on January 8, 2011.

Subsequently, the Company also for the introduction of further offences under the Decree no. 231/01, proceeded to update its own model, taking into account:

- the Company's organizational change;
- the evolution of the case law and legal literature;
- the practice of the Italian companies in relation to models;
- the evolution of the regulatory framework.

The model shall not be considered as a static document, but instead is designed with a view to continuously updating it as the needs to adjust it may come out over time. In fact, it is subject to constant updating and improvement.

Sardegna Resorts, with a view to updating and continuous improvement of its Model according to the suggestions of the SB, decided to start a Project aimed at:

- transpose the organizational changes occurred with respect to the date of adoption of the Model;
- align the Model to the recent regulatory changes introduced in the Decree.

3.3 The Components of the Model

3.3.1 Code of Ethics of Sardegna Resorts S.r.l.

The adoption of a Code of conduct as a tool for *governance* constitutes an essential reference point to ensure high *standards* of conduct and then, fully implement the prevention of offences referred to in the Decree. The adoption of the Code of Ethics is also a prerequisite for the effective functioning of the Model established in Land Holding.

Therefore, Sardegna Resorts has considered appropriate to adopt and implement a Code of Ethics aimed at enunciating the precepts to be observed in performing its activities. The Company and anyone acting in the name and on its behalf, are inspired in achieving their goals in accordance to the values of transparency, fairness and ethics.

The above Code of Ethics should be, anyway, read and applied jointly, even for disciplinary purposes and with this Model, of which is an integral part.

Violations of the Code of Ethics in relation to the themes related to the Model will be reported directly to the SB and may lead to sanctioning, disciplinary or contractual consequences depending on the qualifications of the individual who committed the violation.

3.3.2 Organizational system

Sardegna Resorts is a Company governed by a BoD currently composed of six members.

The BoD is vested with the broadest powers for the ordinary and extraordinary management of the Company, without exception, with all faculties for the implementation and the achievement of corporate goals.

Therefore, it can contract every kind of obligation and perform any act of patrimonial disposition without any limitations, falling within its competence all by law not expressly reserved to the resolutions of the Shareholders' meeting.

The Company has also appointed a Board of Auditors consisting of three members and two alternates.

The organizational system of Sardinia Resorts is based on a division of tasks and responsibilities assigned to the functions that perform management and coordination activities and act as functions of business steer, guide and support.

This system ensures a clear and proper allocation of responsibilities and an accurate definition of responsibilities and tasks assigned to each organizational structure.

The Company has adopted specific organizational charts, constantly updated as a result of organizational changes.

3.3.3 The Company organization on Health and Safety at Work (OSH)

On health and safety at work and in accordance with applicable regulations on prevention matters, to eliminate or, where that is not possible, reduce – and then manage – the work hazards for workers, the subjects listed below act on behalf of Sardegna Resorts:

- the Employer;
- the delegate of the Employer;
- the managers;
- the supervisors;

- the manager and the prevention and protection service staff (hereinafter referred to as respectively “RSPP” and “ASPP”);
- first aid attendants (hereinafter referred to as “APS”);
- the fire prevention staff or emergency team (hereinafter referred to as “API”);
- the workers’ representative for safety (hereinafter referred to as “RLS”);
- the competent physician;
- the workers;
- the individuals outside the company who carry out relevant activities on Health and Safety at Work, namely: a) the subjects entrusted with a job under a contract or work contract or supply contract; b) manufacturers and suppliers; c) designers of sites and workplaces and installations; d) installations equipment or other technical means.

The duties and responsibilities of the aforementioned individuals on Health and Safety at Work are defined formally in line with organizational and functional framework of the Company, with particular reference to specific figures operating in this area (the RSPP, the ASPP, the APS, the API, the RLS, the competent physician): in this respect, the Company clarifies, within the definition of organizational and operational tasks of the Executive Board, of managers, of supervisors and of workers, even those ones relating to security activities of their respective competence, as well as the responsibilities for carrying on the tasks themselves, with a focus on the duties of the SPP, of the ASPP, of the APS, of the API, of the RLS, of the competent physician.

3.3.4 The Organizational Structure on environmental matters

Sardegna Resorts considers environmental protection and sustainable development a priority objective of their business. For this reason, Land Holding has identified environmental liabilities, conferring to subject identified for this purpose with powers of organization, management and control with regard to the protection of the environment in respect of the provisions.

3.3.5 The procedural system

In accordance with the Guidelines provided by Confindustria, Sardegna Resorts has designed a complex system of manual and IT procedures aimed at regulating the conduct of business activities, providing also the controls to be performed in order to ensure their fairness and effectiveness.

For this purpose, the Company shall take as preventive tool in each Area at Risk of Crime the segregation of duties between those who carry out the crucial phases or activities of a process, ensuring that business procedures and/or operational practices are periodically updated and that they encompass constantly any changes or innovations of the business processes and of the organizational system.

They are identified roles and responsibilities of those involved in the life cycle of the same (drafting, update, validation, approval, reporting, implementation and monitoring).

3.3.6 Financial resources management system

The Article 6, paragraph 2, letter c of the Decree, states that the Models are required to provide for the modalities of management of the financial resources necessary to prevent the commission of crimes. The reason underlying this provision is to be found in the fact that many offences relevant to the discipline in question, may be made through the Company financial resources.

The process of management of the financial resources of Sardegna Resorts is based on the following principles:

- the separation of roles in key stages of the process;
- the traceability of documents and authorization levels associated to individual operations;
- the monitoring on the proper execution of the various phases of the process;
- request for payment arrangement specifically formalized;
- authorization of the competent business Function;
- checking the correspondence between good received and good ordered;
- verification of payment;
- invoice check;
- accounting record;
- the documentation of the checks performed.

3.3.7 Outsourced processes

With reference to processes, or parts of the process, outsourced from Sardegna Resorts to other companies, have been formally defined the criteria for the selection of subjects to whom entrust the outsourcing of functions/operations, the delegations of management and the modalities through which Sardegna Resorts gives these assignments and monitors their proper execution.

3.3.8 Authorization and signature powers

The powers are allocated in accordance with the organizational structure of Sardegna Resorts and with the principles of segregation of incompatible duties and responsibilities. The tasks and responsibilities defined within are ensured in compliance with the provisions set by the Organizational, Management and Control Model pursuant to Decree no. 231/01 of Sardegna Resorts and by its Code of Ethics.

The organizational positions which have been conferred powers are therefore empowered to exercise them within their own area of expertise. The signatory powers are awarded for the fulfilment of the assignment given.

The powers may have representative value with respect to those operations or statements/acts without direct economic value.

3.3.9 Communication and training

The Model considers the particular business of Sardegna Resorts and is a valuable tool of awareness and information for the Apical Subjects and for the Subordinate.

This is set with the aim for the Recipients to follow in performing of their activities, correct and transparent behaviors in line with the ethical and social values that inspire the Company in pursuit of its purpose and such anyway to prevent the risk of committing the offences prescribed by the Decree.

In any case, the relevant company functions ensure that the principles and rules of conduct contained in the Model and Code of Ethics are encompassed in the Company procedures.

The aim of Sardegna Resorts is to ensure proper knowledge by the Recipients on contents of the Decree and the obligations arising from it.

The main modalities to perform training/information activities required also according to the provisions contained in the Decree, refer to the specific disclosure upon hiring and further activities deemed necessary to ensure the proper application of the provisions laid down in the Decree.

Sardegna Resorts also provides for the dissemination of the Model to subjects dealing with the Company through consulting relationships, professional service, partnerships and other kind of relationships materializing through a professional service, non-subordinated, either occasional or continuous (including subjects acting in favor of suppliers and *partners*) (hereinafter referred to as the “**Third parties**”).

In particular, business functions, from time to time involved, provide to Third Parties in general and service companies with whom they come into contact, suitable information in relation to the Model adopted by the Sardegna Resorts in accordance with the Decree. In addition, the Company invites Third Parties to read the contents of Model and the Principles of the Code of Ethics, displayed on the website.

In the relevant contracts are included contractual clauses intended to notify Third Parties of the adoption of the Model by Sardegna Resorts, which they declare to have read and to be aware of the consequences of non-compliance with the provisions contained in the General Part of the Model, the Principles of the Code of Ethics, as well as they agree to not commit any of the Presumed Crimes.

4. SUPERVISORY BODY

Characteristics of the Supervisory Body

As a further requirement for the liability exemption provided by Article 6, paragraph 1, letter b, of the Decree no.231/01, Sardegna Resorts has established a body of the Company with autonomous powers of initiative and check, which is given the task of supervising the functioning and compliance with the Model (hereinafter referred to as “SB” or “Supervisory Body”). The SB has its own internal rules governing the main aspects and methods of exercising its action.

With specific regard to the scheduling of meetings, the regulation explicitly provides for the frequency of such meetings, or the need to meet whenever it is deemed appropriate by the Chairman of the SB and/or the concrete needs related to the performance of its activities may require it.

Finally, in accordance with the provisions of the Decree no. 231/01 (articles 6 and 7), as well as with the instructions contained in the Confindustria Guidelines, the characteristics of the SB, in order to ensure effective and efficient implementation of the Model, must be:

- a) autonomy and independence;
- b) professional expertise;
- c) continuous action.

Autonomy and independence

The requirements of autonomy and independence are important to ensure that the SB is not directly involved in the management activities that constitute the object of its control activities and, therefore, is not subject to influence or interference by the governing body.

Professional expertise

The SB must have technical and professional skills adequate to the tasks is expected to perform. These features, combined with the independence, ensure the objectivity of judgement.

To this end, the members of the SB must have the knowledge and experience necessary to ensure collectively, within the limits established by the Model, safe and effective operation control and supervision for all the business procedures under surveillance, with the opportunity, where appropriate, to be supported for special needs by experts, though specific consulting engagement assigned by the Company.

Continuos action

The SB shall:

- perform continuously the necessary supervisory activities on the Model with adequate commitment and necessary investigative powers;
- be a structure referable to the Company, in order to ensure the necessary continuity in the supervisory activities.

To ensure the effective existence of the requirements described above, these individuals must have, in addition to the professional skills described, the formal subjective requirements further ensure the autonomy and independence provided by the task (e.g. honorability, absence of conflicts of interests and of relationships with corporate bodies and with the top management, etc.).

4.2 Appointment and Composition of the Supervisory Body

The Board of Directors of Sardegna Resorts decides the number and qualifications of the members of the SB of the Company.

The BoD has appointed a collective body composed of three members external to the Company, identified among individuals with expertise and experience in matters relating to the duties assigned to the SB.

The Chair of the SB is exclusively reserved, upon appointment by the BoD, to a member external to the Company.

This composition ensures the autonomy in the audit initiative from all forms of interference and/or influence by any members of the organization, while ensuring sufficient continuity of action and, overall, meets the requirement of professionalism in relation to the various categories of Presumed Crimes, as suggested by the Guidelines of Confindustria.

The appointment of the SB is, then, communicated to each member and from the latter accepted. This assignment is subsequently communicated by the BoD to all Company levels, through the dissemination of a Company press release describing powers, duties, responsibilities of the SB, as well as its placement within the Company organizational structure and the purpose of its establishment.

External member of the SB receive compensation for the performance of the assignment.

4.3 Duration of the assignment and causes of termination

In order to ensure the effective and consistent implementation of the Model, as well as the

continuity of action, the duration of the assignment of the SB is in 3 (three) years, renewable if necessary through resolution of the BoD.

The termination of the SB can occur for any of the following causes:

- expiration of the assignment;
- withdrawal of the SB by the BoD.

The withdrawal of the SB can be arranged only for just cause and this shall be limited to the following assumptions:

- serious negligence in performing the tasks assigned;
- the company's involvement in a proceeding, criminal or civil, relating to a failure or insufficient supervision, even negligent.

Outside of the assumptions relating the whole SB, the termination of the assignment of a single member can occur:

- as a result of resignation of the assignment, formalized through written communication sent to the BoD;
- if for one of the reasons for decadence mentioned in section 4.4. occurs;
- as a result of withdrawal by the Board.

The withdrawal of the single member of the SB can be arranged only for just cause and this shall be limited, in addition to the assumptions above referred to the whole Body, by way of example, to the following assumptions:

- in case the component is definitively sentenced for a crime included in the Decree no. 231/01;
- in case of the violation of the obligation of confidentiality provided for members of the SB.

The withdrawal of the SB and of each member is arranged through BoD resolution, approved unanimously, after consulting the Board of Statutory Auditors, from which the BoD can disagree only with proper motivation.

Upon expiration, withdrawal or resignation, the BoD appoints without delay the new member of the SB. Until the date of acceptance of the assignment by the new member, the duties of the SB are performed by the members in charge.

4.4 Cases of ineligibility and of decadence

Constitute reasons for ineligibility and/or decadence from being member of the SB:

- a) conflicts of interest, even potential, with the Company that affect its independence;
- b) the interdiction, the disqualification, the final conviction, for one of the offences provided by the Decree or, anyway, to a penalty imposing the disqualification from holding public office, even temporarily, or the inability to exercise executive offices;
- c) the public employment relations at central or local governments in the three years before the appointment as member of the SB unless otherwise provided by the BoD);
- d) the existence of relations of kinship, marriage or affinity within the fourth degree with members of the BoD or of the Board of Statutory Auditors of the Company, as well as with the same members of the parent company or with third parties responsible for the audit activities;
- e) the existence of financial relationships between the member and the Company such as to compromise the independence of the member itself;

- f) being exercised the criminal proceedings against the member of SB under the procedures provided by the Criminal Procedure Code, with reference to one of the offences (committed or tempted) provided by Articles 24 and following of the Decree; for this purpose, are instantly and automatically incorporated into this Model any amendments and/or additions of the types of crimes envisaged by the Decree;
- g) being the recipient of personal precautionary measures, compulsive or prohibiting, for one of the offences (committed or tempted) provided by Articles 24 and following of the Decree;
- h) being convicted by judgment, even not final, to a penalty imposing disqualification from holding public office, even temporarily, or disqualification from the headquarters of legal entities and companies; the bargain sentence shall be considered equivalent to a conviction;
- i) being convicted by judgment, even not final, to imprisonment not less than six months or for one of the crimes provided by the RD no. 267 dated March 16, 1942, or for an offence against the public administration, against public faith, against property, against public economics or taxation; the bargain sentence shall be considered equivalent to a conviction;
- j) being convicted by judgment, even not final, for one of the offences provided by the Title XI, Book V of the Civil Code; the bargain sentence shall be considered equivalent to a conviction;
- k) being member of the SB in a Company against whom were applied sanctions provided by Article 9 of the Decree;
- l) being subjected finally to one of the preventive measures provided by Article 10, paragraph 3, Law no. 575 dated May 31, 1965, as replaced by Article 3 of the Law no. 55 dated March 19, 1990, and further amendments;
- m) being a member of the Company or of Group companies, even indirectly or with a participation exceeding 5% of the share capital;
- n) being absent, without just cause, at least three meetings of the SB.

In case during the assignment occur a cause for decadence, the Member of the SB is obliged to immediately inform the BoD.

4.5 Functions, duties and powers of the Supervisory Body

The function of the SB is, in general, to:

- spread with the utmost effectiveness and operation the Model within the Company;
- overseeing the effective implementation of the Model in relation to the various types of crimes taken into consideration;
- check the effectiveness of the Model and the adequacy thereof, namely its ability to prevent the commission of the relevant offences and highlight their eventual effective realization;
- verify and deepen the legislation underlying the Model in order to adapt it to the legislative evolution;
- identify and propose to the BoD updating and amendments to the Model itself in relation to changing legislation or to changing needs or business conditions;
- verify that the proposed updating and amendments made by the BoD have actually been encompassed in the Model;
- promote and monitor all activities of information to the Recipients deemed necessary or appropriate, and to promote and monitor the implementation of training initiatives aimed at providing understanding and awareness of the Model and of the procedures related thereto, in order to enhance the control culture and ethical values within the Company;

- verify with the appropriate timeliness, even through the provision of appropriate suggestions, the requests for clarification and/or counseling from the functions or corporate resources or administrative and control bodies, if associated with and/or connected to the Model.

Within the function above described, the SB has the following tasks:

- periodically checking the map of Areas at Risk of Crimes and the adequacy of control points, in order to adapt them to changes of activity and/or company structure;
- periodically performing targeted checks and inspections, on the basis of the pre-determined activity plan of the SB, on specific operations or acts, put in place within the Areas at Risk of Crimes;
- collecting, processing and storing relevant information in order to comply with the Model and updating the list of information to be transmitted to the same SB;
- conducting internal investigations to ascertain the alleged violations of the requirements of this Model brought to the attention of the SB through specific reports or emerged during the surveillance activity performed by the latter;
- carry out checks and inspections, if necessary also through sampling methods, concerning, by way of example, the use of standard clauses, the adoption of procedures, the effectiveness of controls, the consistency of the system of powers in force, in order to verify the actual adoption and implementation of the Model pursuant to the Legislative Decree 231/01.

To perform the functions and duties listed above, the following powers are attributed to the SB:

- accessing in a broad and widespread way to information and business documents, without any needs of prior consent and/or permission;
- performing checks and inspections, without prior notice;
- relying on the support and cooperation of the different corporate structures and bodies that might be interested or involved in the control activities;
- assigning specific consulting and assistance engagements to professionals also external to the Company.

4.6 Resources of the Supervisory body

The BoD allocates human and financial resources to the SB deemed appropriate for carrying out the assignment. In detail, the SB has autonomous spending powers that can be exercised within the limits of the annual budget assigned to it by the Board of Directors. If the Supervisory Body considers that the assigned annual budget is insufficient or insufficient to perform particular work activities (in addition to those already planned and planned), the same body must inform the Board of Directors in order to seek and share the most appropriate needs emerged.

Furthermore, the Supervisory Body also has the right to stipulate, modify and / or resolve professional engagement with third parties having the necessary skills for the efficient execution of the assignment.

4.7 The functioning of the Supervisory body

4.7.1 Meetings

The SB shall meet at least once every three months and, in any case, whenever the need arises and/or opportunity.

The SB may be convened, at any time, by the BoD.

The meetings shall be convened by the President of the SB through notice containing the agenda. In the absence of convocation, the meeting shall be deemed validly convened when are present, even when connected to video/tele conference, all members of the SB.

4.7.2 Validity of the resolutions

The meetings of the SB are valid with the presence of a majority of the members and shall be chaired by the President.

SB meetings may be held via telecommunication means, with attendees located in different places, contiguous or distant, connected through audio/video/teleconference means, as long as they are compliant to the collegial approach and the principles of good faith and equal treatment of members of the SB.

Each Member of the Body shall be required to declare the latter any conflict of interest with the object of the resolution or the debate, with obligation to abstain from voting. The declaration is acknowledged in the meeting minutes.

4.7.3 Meeting minutes and Documentation

The content of the meetings and the decisions taken are recorded in minutes drawn up in writing. The minutes must contain the names of meeting attendees and of the President, the agenda and any relevant additions, the decisions and explanations of vote.

The minutes shall be valid if signed off by the President, the meeting Secretary and signed off at margin on each page.

Any information and report provided by the Model 231 is kept by the Body in hard copy and /or electronic archive.

4.8 Information flows of the Supervisory body

4.8.1 Reporting obligations to the Supervisory body

The Article 6, paragraph 2, lett. D) of the Decree no. 231/01, provides within the Model the reporting obligations to the SB called to supervising the operation and compliance of the Model within the Company.

The obligation of structured information flows is considered as mean for the SB to:

- a) concretely verify the practical effectiveness of the Model;
- b) perform any subsequent investigations on the reasons that made or contributed to the occurrence of the offences provided by the Decree no.231/01;
- c) improve its controls planning activities.

The reporting obligation is intended to all business functions and divisions, but firstly to structures deemed at risk of crime according to the Company's risk map document.

Moreover, the reporting obligation is intended particularly to the BoD as a top body of the Company.

The reporting obligations do not entail for the SB a timely and systematic check of all the events represented in documents and records sent to the SB itself by the different corporate structures but only of those events that could fall under liability perimeter provided by the Decree no.231/01. Shall be promptly and mandatorily reported to the SB the information:

- that may have bearing on violations of the Model including, but not limited to:
 - the measures and/or news from judicial police bodies, or from any other authority, on conducting investigations involving Sardegna Resorts, its employees or members of the corporate bodies in relation to any offences provided by the Decree;
 - the reports eventually prepared by responsible of other bodies (for example, Auditors) and organizational units and business functions within the framework of their monitoring activities and from which could emerge facts, acts, events or omissions deemed critical with respect to the observance of the Decree;
 - the requests for legal assistance made by employees upon initiation of legal proceedings against them and in relation to the offences provided by the Decree, except express prohibition of the judicial authority;
 - the news related to disciplinary proceedings and to any penalties imposed or the storage of these provisions with the relevant reasons, if they are related to offences or infringements of the behavior or procedural rules of the Model;
 - the existence of any conflicts of interest between one of the Recipients of the Model and the Company;
 - any omissions or falsifications in accounting or book-keeping that underpin the accounting records;
 - any significant deviations from the *budget* or spending abnormalities emerged during the final accounting;
 - the commissions of inquiry or internal reports/communications proving liability for the offence provided by the Decree;
 - any accidents at the workplace, namely measures taken by the Judicial Authority or other Authorities on the occupational health and safety matters, also in the form of measures adopted according to the Legislative Decree No. 758 of 1994, from which emerge violations relating to occupational health and safety matters;
 - any measures taken by the Judicial Authority or other Authorities on environmental matters, from which may result an actual or potential violation of environmental regulations and/or permissions that govern business activity;
- relating to the Company activities, which may be relevant with regard to the performance by the SB of the tasks assigned, including, but not limited to:
 - the organizational and procedural changes;
 - any changes, or detected deficiencies, in the corporate and organizational structure;
 - the updates to the system of delegations and powers;
 - the periodic reporting on the state of progress of the training activities on the Decree no. 231/01;
 - the decisions on request, provision and use of public funds;
 - the changes in the Areas at Risk of Crime or potentially at risk;
 - copy of the minutes of the BoD meetings and of the Shareholders' meetings, in case such minutes include relevant information related to the Legislative Decree no.231/01;
 - ;

- copy of periodic reporting on health and safety at work;
- any communications of the external auditors on aspects which may indicate deficiencies in the internal control system, censurable facts, observations on the Company's financial statements;
- the statement of accuracy and completeness of the information contained in the corporate communications;
- copy of the minutes of the Board of Statutory Auditors meetings, in case such minutes include relevant information related to the Legislative Decree no.231/01;
- the outcomes of the check and monitoring activities on the environmental requirements performed by the Company;
- any inspection reports on safety and environment matters issued by Public Bodies and/or control Authorities and any other relevant documents on safety and environment matters.

The Company adopts specific dedicated reporting channels in order to ensure confidentiality and shall facilitate the flow of information and reports to the SB. In particular, the information and reports must be made via e-mail to ODVSardegnaresorts@hotelscostasmeralda.com or directly by writing down to the SB at the company's headquarters.

4.8.2 Reports

All the Recipients of this Model are obliged to report to the Supervisory Body the commission of offences within Land Holding and of illicit conducts relevant pursuant to Decree 231/01, as well as any relevant deviation from the rules of conduct provided for by this Model and by the internal regulations, procedures and protocols referred to therein, and by the Code of Ethics, of which they have become aware in the performance of their duties and functions. The prompt reporting of unlawful conduct may, in fact, enable the Company to intervene promptly in order to avoid further consequences, as well as to reinforce, if necessary, its internal control structures.

This system must therefore ensure, on the one hand, that violations are promptly detected and, on the other, that the relevant report does not give rise to any form of retaliation.

The Company, having regard to Article 6, paragraph 2-bis, of the Decree, introduced by Law No. 179 of 30 November 2017 on 'Provisions for the protection of the authors of reports of offences or irregularities of which they have become aware in the context of a public or private employment relationship', as well as in the light of Legislative Decree No. 24 of 10 March 2023 on the protection of persons who report violations of Union law and national regulatory provisions - which amended the aforementioned Article 6, paragraph 2-bis, of the Decree with effect from 15 07 2023 - has identified the person responsible for receiving and examining Reports, pursuant to Article 4, paragraph 2, of Legislative Decree No. 24 of 15 07 2023, as the person responsible for receiving and examining Reports, pursuant to Article 4, paragraph 2 of Legislative Decree No. 24 of 15 07 2023. 6, paragraph 2-bis of the Decree with effect from 15.07.2023 - identified the person responsible for receiving and examining Reports, pursuant to Article 4, paragraph 2 of Legislative Decree no. 24 of 10 March 2023, as the Supervisory Body, and also drew up a procedure for the management of such reports, concerning the internal rules and instruments of protection guaranteed to those who, in good faith, decide to make such reports to the Supervisory Body.

Whistleblowing can be reported using, alternatively, the following channels:

- on an IT platform, through the address: <https://whistleblowing.smeraldaholding.com>, which can be reached from the website <http://www.smeraldaholding.com>, Governance section 'Whistleblowing Reports', choosing the name of Sardegna Resorts from the menu;
- by paper instrument, by addressing the document to the Supervisory Body at the following address:
- Sardegna Resorts s.r.l. – Casa Il Ginepro, 1/a – 07021 Porto Cervo (OT), with the wording '(Confidentiality for the Supervisory Body)' on the envelope;

In the case of reporting by hard copy, it is recommended to place the whistleblower's identification data in a sealed envelope and to include the subject matter and details of the report in a second sealed envelope, separate from the first. Both envelopes shall then be inserted in a third sealed envelope, to be preferably sent by registered mail;

- orally, possibly requesting the setting up - within a reasonable timeframe- of an in-person or remote meetings with the Supervisory Body. Such request may be submitted through the aforementioned communication tools, or any others means/ available to the whistleblower.

The operating procedure 'Whistleblowing procedure for reporting offences and irregularities' shall be deemed an integral part of the Organisation, Management and Control Model adopted by Land Holding.

Reference should therefore be made to said procedure for comprehensive information and detail regarding the reporting channels made available by the Company, the methods and criteria for their use and operation, the management of the reports themselves and the security measures provided for the whistleblowers

4.8.3 Reporting obligations of the Supervisory Body

The SB shall reports on the implementation of the Model and on the occurrence of any critical issues.

In particular, the SB is responsible to the Board of Directors for:

- communicating, at the beginning of each financial year and no later than the first half of the current year, the plan of activities it intends to carry out in order to fulfil the tasks assigned to it;
- promptly reporting any issues arising in connection with its activities, where relevant;
- submitting a semi-annual report on the implementation of the Model, the monitoring and control activities carried out, and the results thereof.

Furthermore, the SB is required to report periodically – at least on an annual basis - on its activities either to the BoD or to the Board of Statutory Auditors. It's also required, on an annual basis, to prepare a workplan outlining the activities scheduled for the following year.

The SB will meet with these bodies to report on the operation of the Model or on specific situations. The meetings with the corporate bodies which the SB reports must be recorded. A copy of such minutes shall be kept respectively by the SB and by the corporate bodies from time to time involved. Notwithstanding the above, the SB may also report, considering the individual circumstances:

- (i) the results of its findings to the CEO and the BoD in case from the activities emerge any

aspects of improvement. In such cases it will be necessary for the SB to obtain an action plan, with relevant timing, for the implementation of activities capable of improvement as well as the result of such implementation;

- (ii) to the BoD and to the Board of Statutory Auditors behaviors/actions not in line with the Model in order to:
 - a) collect from the BoD all elements to make any communications to the structures competent for evaluation and application of disciplinary measures;
 - b) provide guidelines for the removal of deficiencies in order to avoid a repetition of the occurrence.

The Body, finally, has the obligation to immediately report to the Board of Statutory Auditors in case of violation relating to members of the BoD

4. SYSTEM OF SANCTIONS

General principles

In order to ensure the effectiveness of the Model is provided for a specific system of sanctions pursuant to the Decree no.231/01 which operates in case of violation of the provisions of the Model itself, the Code of Ethics and the procedures.

According to Article 2106 of the Civil Code, with reference to the employment relationships, the disciplinary system integrates, although not expressly provided for and limited to cases referred to therein, the National Collective Labour Agreement applied to workers of the sector “Hotels” (hereinafter referred to as “CCNL”)

The violation of the rules of conduct and of the measures foreseen in the Model, the Code of Ethics and the relevant procedures, by the employees of Sardegna Resorts and/or by its managers, constitutes a failure to fulfil the obligations arising from the employment relationship, in accordance with Article 2104 and Article 2106 of the Civil Code.

More specifically, failure to follow the rules and the provisions contained in the Model, in the Code of Ethics and in the relevant procedures, affects, by itself, the trusting relationship with Sardegna Resorts and lead to sanctioning and disciplinary actions. This also in accordance with the principles of timeliness and immediacy of the complaint (also disciplinary) and of the imposition of sanctions, in accordance with the laws in force.

In addition, in the event that any persons with whom the Company is contractually in contact (regardless of the formal nature of the relationship) violate the rules and provisions of the Model, the Code of Ethics and relevant procedures, shall be imposed contractual penalties provided by the disciplinary system, whose general principles should be considered, on legal and contract terms, part of contractual agreements in place with the involved stakeholders.

The application of the sanctions described in the sanctioning system is independent on the outcome of any criminal proceedings, since the rules of conduct imposed by the Model, the Code of Ethics and the relevant procedures are performed by Sardegna Resorts independently and regardless of the type of crimes provided by the Decree no. 231/01.

For the assessment of the effectiveness and suitability of the Model to prevent offences provided by the Decree, the Model identifies the sanctions and behaviors that may favor the commission of crimes.

The sanctions are divided by degrees, depending on the different degree of danger that the conduct may arise with respect to the commission of crimes.

5.2 Definition of “Infringement” for the purposes of the operation of the present System of Sanctions

By way of example and without limitation constitutes “Infringement” of the Model, of the Code of Ethics and of the relevant procedures:

- the implementation of actions or behaviors, not compliant with the law and the requirements contained in the Model itself, in the Code of Ethics and in the relevant procedures, from which may result a situation of mere risk of committing one of the offences provided by the Decree no. 231/01;
- the omission of actions or behaviors provided by the Model, by the Code of Ethics and by the relevant procedures, from which may result a situation of mere risk of committing one of the offences provided by the Decree no. 231/01;
- the violation of the protection measures of the subjects that carry out the reports referred to in p. 4.8.2 and p. 4.8.3 of the Model, as well as the execution, with “*intentional fault and serious misconduct*”, of reports that prove to be unfounded

5.3 Criteria for the imposition of sanctions

The type and extent of specific sanctions will be applied in proportion to the gravity of the infringement and, in any case, based on the following general criteria:

- subjective element of conduct (fraud, guilt);
- commission of other infringement in the previous two years (repeated offence);
- relevance of the obligations infringed;
- potential of damage for Sardegna Resorts and of the possible application of the sanctions provided by the Decree and any subsequent amendments or additions;
- level of responsibility either hierarchically or relating to compliance with laws, regulations, orders or associated disciplines to the job position held by the individual concerned;
- existence of aggravating or mitigating circumstances, with particular reference to previous work performed by the recipient of the Model and to any previous disciplinary proceedings;
- any possible sharing of responsibility with other employees or third parties in general who have competed in determining the Infringement;
- any collaborative behavior following the contestation of the Infringement.

In case through a single act more infringements have been committed, punished with different sanctions, only the most severe sanction will be applied.

In any case, disciplinary sanctions shall be imposed to employees in accordance with Article 7 of the Law 300/70 (hereinafter referred to as “**Workers Statute**”) and all other regulatory and contractual provisions exiting on the matter.

5.4 Sanctions for employees

The behaviors of the employees in breaching of the rules contained in the Model, in the Code of Ethics and relevant procedures are defined as disciplinary offense.

The Article 2104 of the Civil Code, by identifying the duty of diligence and “obedience” borne by the employee, requires the latter to respect during the work either the legal or the contractual

provisions, issued by the employer, and by the employees of the latter, to whom hierarchically reports.

The infringement of the Model, of the Code of Ethics and of the relevant procedures, can result, depending on the severity of the breach, to measures, which are established in accordance with the principles of proportionality, as well as with the relation criteria between infringement-sanction and, in any case, in compliance with the form and modalities prescribed by the legislation in force. The employee's infringement of the Model, of the Code of Ethics and of the relevant procedures, can result, depending on the severity of the violation, to various types of measures provided by law and/or by the above CCNL.

5.4.1 Employees in non-managerial position

Sardegna Resorts applies, as already mentioned above, to its employees in non-managerial position, the applicable CCNL.

For the purposes of this system of sanctions, disciplinary measures imposed to employees of Sardegna Resorts under CCNL may consist of:

- verbal reprimand;
- written reprimand;
- fine, whose amount is no more than the amount of 3 (three) hours of hourly wage;
- suspension of remuneration for a maximum period of 5 (five) days.

These sanctions will be imposed by the CEO and communicated to the SB.

5.4.2 Managers

In compliance with the provisions of the CCNL, employees in “managerial” positions apply disciplinary sanctions envisaged for violations of the Model, the Code of Ethics and the relevant procedures in the individual labour contracts of each subject involved and in the relevant supplementary agreements.

Because of the greater degree of diligence and professionalism required by the job title, the “manager” staff can be punished with a more severe measure than an employee with other qualification, against the commission of the same Infringement.

In assessing the seriousness of the Infringement made by the “manager”, Sardegna Resorts takes into account the powers granted, the technical and professional skills of the individual concerned, with reference to the operation area in which the Infringement occurred, as well as the possible involvement in the Infringement, even just in terms of mere knowledge of the facts charged, of less qualified personnel.

If the infringement of the Model, of the Code of Ethics and of the relevant procedures, affects the trusting relationship between the Company and the Manager, the sanction shall be the dismissal for just cause.

Is punishable with the disciplinary measures provided by the individual labour contract and subsequent supplementary agreements the manager that commits an Infringement or fails to a specific duty of supervision on subordinates.

The above sanctions will be imposed by the CEO and communicated to the SB.

5.5 Directors

Failure to follow the forecast indicated in the Model, in the Code of Ethics and the relevant procedures by one or more Directors implies the application of sanctions in accordance with the principles of proportionality and effectiveness, also considering the trusting nature of the relationship.

In case of Infringement of the Model by one or more of the Directors of Sardegna Resorts, the SB shall inform without delay the BoD and the Board of Statutory Auditors of the Company for the appropriate evaluations and measures.

The BoD, the Board of Statutory Auditors and the Shareholders' Meeting, within the limits of their competence provided by law and/or Statute, will take on the most suitable and appropriate initiatives to find and punish the alleged violation.

In addition to the sanctions provided by law, the sanctions to be imposed will be chosen among the following:

- Written reprimand and notice to punctually comply with the Model, the Code of Ethics and the relevant procedures in case of minor infringement, through action or omission, also in conjunction, of the provisions indicated in the Model and of the procedures for its implementation, including the violation of the arrangements for managing financial resources identified in the Model itself and in procedures for its implementation;
- Suspension from the office up to three months, in case of severe infringement of the Model or of the procedures for its implementation, including the violation of the arrangements for managing financial resources identified in the Model itself and in procedures for its implementation (for example, circumventing the controls implemented by the SB; unjustified impediment or hindrance to the SB and the bodies in charge for the implementation of the procedures of the Model for accessing the information and documentation; subtraction, destruction and/or alteration -even partial- of the documentation required by the procedures for the implementation of the Model);
- Withdrawal from the office in the event of one or more infringements of the Model of such seriousness to irreparably affect the trusting relationship established with the Company and to not allow the continuation, even temporary, of the relationship. It is intended that this violation represents just cause for dismissal and as such shall be accepted by each Director on duty *pro tempore*.

In assessing the extent of the infringement will be taken into account the suitability of the behavior, put in place in infringement of the provisions of the Model, of the Code of Ethics and of the procedures, to determine the practical application from Sardegna Resorts of the sanctions provided by the Decree no. 231/01.

It remains without prejudice to any liability actions and/or claims for damages if from this concrete behavior result damages against Sardegna Resorts.

5.6 Statutory Auditors

In case of Infringement of the Model from one or more members of the Board of Statutory Auditors, the SB shall inform the BoD and the Board of Statutory Auditors, and upon request of the Chairman of the BoD will be convened the Shareholders' meeting to adopt the appropriate measures.

5.7 Third Parties: collaborators, business partners and consultants.

In case of Infringement of the Model from collaborators, business partners or consultants, or, more generally, Third Parties, the Company, depending on the seriousness of the violation: (i) shall recall the involved subjects to the strict compliance of the provisions therein; or (ii) shall be entitled, depending on the different types of contract, to withdraw from the relationship in place for just cause or to terminate the contract in case of failure from the above mentioned subjects.

To this end, Sardegna Resorts has foreseen the inclusion of specific clauses in these contracts providing: **(a)** the disclosure to Third Parties of the adoption of the Model and of the Code of Ethics of Sardegna Resorts, which they claim to have read, pledging to respect its contents and not to put in place behaviors that may result in a violation of the law, of the Model, or in the commission of any of the presumed crimes; **(b)** the right for the Company to withdraw from relationship or to terminate the contract (with or without penalties), in case of non-compliance to those obligations.

5.8 The process of application of sanctions

The procedure for application of sanctions starts from the reception by the Company bodies from time to time involved and indicated below, of the communication through which the SB reports the actual infringement of the Model, of the Code of Ethics and of the procedures.

In all cases where the SB receives a report or acquires, during its supervisory activities, the elements from which may arise the danger of Infringement of the Model, of the Code of Ethics and of the procedures, has the obligation to carry out investigations and inspections falling within its duties and deemed necessary.

Once the verification and control activity is finished, the SB evaluates, based on the collected evidence, if it occurred a sanctionable Infringement of the Model, of the Code of ethics and of the procedures. If so, reports the Infringement to the Chairman of the BoD and to the HR function; if not, sends the report to the CEO so that it can assess the possible relevance of conduct with respect to other applicable laws or regulations.